On Tue, Apr 01, 2008 at 06:25:50PM -0400, Kelly DeYoe wrote:
> Hmmm, I thought the contract renewal would have been sent to you
> already. I'll check on it tomorrow.

Ok. If you give me a few hints about who might have sent it and with
what medium, I can look around on this side too.

Thanks!
--Roger

Hmmm, I thought the contract renewal would have been sent to you already. I'll check on it tomorrow.

-k

Roger Dingledine wrote:
> On Tue, Apr 01, 2008 at 02:06:22PM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
>> Any thoughts?? Ken
>
> Thanks for sticking with this. I look forward to seeing what happens
> next with it. :)
>
> Ken: I can get our Russian guy to translate the blurb, if you think
> that's slowing us down.
>
> Kelly: any progress on the next-contract stuff?
>
> --Roger
>

On Tue, Apr 01, 2008 at 02:06:22PM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> Any thoughts?? Ken

Thanks for sticking with this. I look forward to seeing what happens
next with it. :)

Ken: I can get our Russian guy to translate the blurb, if you think
that's slowing us down.

Kelly: any progress on the next-contract stuff?

--Roger

On Wed, Apr 02, 2008 at 10:57:26AM -0400, Kelly DeYoe wrote:
> Ok, the latest info from Demetria is that it is in the Contracts Office
> now to be processed, so you should hear from someone soon. If the
> renewal of our other contracts this year is any indication, it should
> not take anywhere near as long as last year.

Sounds good. Let me know if I can do anything to help. :)

--Roger

Kelly - Vlad wants to play, but wants more info. Let's drop in and see him today. Ken

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Dingledine [mailto: (b) (6)]
Sent: Tuesday, April 01, 2008 6:16 PM
To: Ken Berman
Cc: Kelly DeYoe
Subject: Re: Tor for Russia

On Tue, Apr 01, 2008 at 02:06:22PM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> Any thoughts?? Ken

Thanks for sticking with this. I look forward to seeing what happens
next with it. :)

Ken: I can get our Russian guy to translate the blurb, if you think
that's slowing us down.

Kelly: any progress on the next-contract stuff?

--Roger

On Fri, Jan 11, 2008 at 06:06:17PM -0500, Kelly DeYoe wrote:
> I have other meetings from 2-4 on Tuesday. I'd suggest either 4pm on
> Tuesday or 2pm or 4pm on Wednesday as alternatives.

I'm giving a talk at Purdue on Wednesday afternoon, so 4pm on Tuesday
would work much better for me. Here's hoping it works for Ken too. :)

Thanks,
--Roger

On Fri, Jan 11, 2008 at 07:52:04AM -0500, Ken Berman wrote:
> Nest week is good. Tu at 2? Ken

Works for me.

--Roger

Nest week is good. Tu at 2? Ken

Roger Dingledine wrote:

>Hi folks,
>
>I've been spending today working on getting the 0.2.0.x releases
>closer to becoming an actual release candidate. So I have some notes
>for the Dec report, but I figure it'll be better if I send it tomorrow.
>Hopefully that will be soon enough. :)
>
>Shall we schedule our monthly conf call sometime? Next week I'm in
>Indiana but my cell phone should work just as well there. The week after
>I'm available most days too.
>
>Thanks,
>--Roger
>
>
>

talk to you tomorrow at 4......

Roger Dingledine wrote:

> On Fri, Jan 11, 2008 at 06:06:17PM -0500, Kelly DeYoe wrote:
>
>> I have other meetings from 2-4 on Tuesday. I'd suggest either 4pm on
>> Tuesday or 2pm or 4pm on Wednesday as alternatives.
>
> I'm giving a talk at Purdue on Wednesday afternoon, so 4pm on Tuesday
> would work much better for me. Here's hoping it works for Ken too.
> :)
>
> Thanks,
> --Roger

On Tue, Mar 11, 2008 at 03:19:17AM -0400, Roger Dingledine wrote:
> We also had a strategy meeting recently, and we decided that we weren't
> gaining anything by leaving our "encrypted directory request" feature off
> by default now that our recent release has enabled our "TLS normalization"
> features. Indeed, most of the folks in our target countries were figuring
> that Tor had simply stopped working, and few of them were coming to us for
> help, so they weren't able to hear about the "My ISP blocks connections to
> the Tor network" option in Vidalia. By turning this feature on by default,
> we're taking the next step in the arms race (and encouraging it to
> require further steps), but that's better than remaining one step behind.
>
> This decision means we should put out another release candidate to see
> what breaks when we turn encrypted directory fetches on by default.
> (Hopefully nothing will break, of course.) We'll have that release
> candidate out in the next few days. Then we'll make a new Tor Browser
> Bundle snapshot with all the new versions.

Ok. We just put out a new bugfix release last night that makes everything
smoother when people are using the encrypted directory fetches by
default. There's now a new 1.0.1 snapshot of the Tor Browser Bundle --
this time for sure! :)

> Then we can point your in-house Russian translators to the Tor Browser
> Bundle Russian page, and see what happens from there. How much of a blurb
> should we prepare for them separately from that page? I can imagine
> such topics as "this is why IBB cares about this", "this is who we're
> planning to show it to next", "this is the sort of feedback we'd like",
> "here's what Tor is good for and here's what it isn't so good for", etc.

Here's a start. What else would you like it to say? Are you envisioning
a long blurb or a short one? I figure we've put a lot of the information
into the Tor Browser Bundle webpage, so we don't need to repeat that
much of it.

Hi folks,

We've been working with The Tor Project (torproject.org) over the
past year to help them make their anonymity and circumvention programs
easier to use. Their most recent tool, called the Tor Browser Bundle,
is a stand-alone Windows package that includes both Tor and Firefox. It
aims to let people use Tor for safer web browsing without needing to
install each component separately.

The Tor software protects you by bouncing your communications around a
distributed network of relays run by volunteers all around the world: it
prevents somebody watching your Internet connection from learning what
sites you visit, it prevents the sites you visit from learning your
physical location, and it lets you access sites which are blocked.

We're planning to announce this more widely to a list of people in Russia,

since Russia is starting to be on our radar as a country that will need
circumvention help in the next years. Please take a look at
https://www.torproject.org/torbrowser/index.html.ru
and give it a try and let us know how it goes. It will take a few
minutes to start the first time while it gathers network information,
but it should be faster to start after that. Your feedback will help us
focus on improving the Tor Browser Bundle experience for everyday users.

Thanks!
--Roger / Kelly

I like it! Btw - spole to Eric Johnson at internews; let's make sure his funding and our for Tor are not duplicating efforts.....

Ken

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Dingledine [mailto: (b) (6)]
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2008 5:55 PM
To: Ken Berman; Kelly DeYoe
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: Re: Tor IBB update for Feb

On Tue, Mar 11, 2008 at 03:19:17AM -0400, Roger Dingledine wrote:
> We also had a strategy meeting recently, and we decided that we weren't
> gaining anything by leaving our "encrypted directory request" feature off
> by default now that our recent release has enabled our "TLS normalization"
> features. Indeed, most of the folks in our target countries were figuring
> that Tor had simply stopped working, and few of them were coming to us for
> help, so they weren't able to hear about the "My ISP blocks connections to
> the Tor network" option in Vidalia. By turning this feature on by default,
> we're taking the next step in the arms race (and encouraging it to
> require further steps), but that's better than remaining one step behind.
>
> This decision means we should put out another release candidate to see
> what breaks when we turn encrypted directory fetches on by default.
> (Hopefully nothing will break, of course.) We'll have that release
> candidate out in the next few days. Then we'll make a new Tor Browser
> Bundle snapshot with all the new versions.

Ok. We just put out a new bugfix release last night that makes everything
smoother when people are using the encrypted directory fetches by
default. There's now a new 1.0.1 snapshot of the Tor Browser Bundle --
this time for sure! :)

> Then we can point your in-house Russian translators to the Tor Browser
> Bundle Russian page, and see what happens from there. How much of a blurb
> should we prepare for them separately from that page? I can imagine
> such topics as "this is why IBB cares about this", "this is who we're
> planning to show it to next", "this is the sort of feedback we'd like",
> "here's what Tor is good for and here's what it isn't so good for", etc.

Here's a start. What else would you like it to say? Are you envisioning
a long blurb or a short one? I figure we've put a lot of the information
into the Tor Browser Bundle webpage, so we don't need to repeat that
much of it.

Hi folks,

We've been working with The Tor Project (torproject.org) over the
past year to help them make their anonymity and circumvention programs

easier to use. Their most recent tool, called the Tor Browser Bundle,
is a stand-alone Windows package that includes both Tor and Firefox. It
aims to let people use Tor for safer web browsing without needing to
install each component separately.

The Tor software protects you by bouncing your communications around a
distributed network of relays run by volunteers all around the world: it
prevents somebody watching your Internet connection from learning what
sites you visit, it prevents the sites you visit from learning your
physical location, and it lets you access sites which are blocked.

We're planning to announce this more widely to a list of people in Russia,
since Russia is starting to be on our radar as a country that will need
circumvention help in the next years. Please take a look at
https://www.torproject.org/torbrowser/index.html.ru
and give it a try and let us know how it goes. It will take a few
minutes to start the first time while it gathers network information,
but it should be faster to start after that. Your feedback will help us
focus on improving the Tor Browser Bundle experience for everyday users.

Thanks!
--Roger / Kelly

Thanks, Roger. I guess we need to discuss more the blurb....

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Dingledine [mailto: (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2008 3:19 AM
To: Ken Berman; Kelly DeYoe
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: Tor IBB update for Feb

Hi folks,

Attached is the Feb update for our progress.

In newer news, there's a new set of Tor Browser Bundle instructions with clearer explanations:
https://www.torproject.org/torbrowser/
and it also comes in German, Italian, Polish, and Russian:
https://www.torproject.org/torbrowser/index.html.ru

We also had a strategy meeting recently, and we decided that we weren't gaining anything by leaving our "encrypted directory request" feature off by default now that our recent release has enabled our "TLS normalization"
features. Indeed, most of the folks in our target countries were figuring that Tor had simply stopped working, and few of them were coming to us for help, so they weren't able to hear about the "My ISP blocks connections to the Tor network" option in Vidalia. By turning this feature on by default, we're taking the next step in the arms race (and encouraging it to require further steps), but that's better than remaining one step behind.

This decision means we should put out another release candidate to see what breaks when we turn encrypted directory fetches on by default.
(Hopefully nothing will break, of course.) We'll have that release candidate out in the next few days. Then we'll make a new Tor Browser Bundle snapshot with all the new versions.

Then we can point your in-house Russian translators to the Tor Browser Bundle Russian page, and see what happens from there. How much of a blurb should we prepare for them separately from that page? I can imagine such topics as "this is why IBB cares about this", "this is who we're planning to show it to next", "this is the sort of feedback we'd like", "here's what Tor is good for and here's what it isn't so good for", etc.

And lastly, shall we organize a conference call again sometime? This week is looking pretty rough for me, but next week is mostly empty.

Thanks!
--Roger

On Thu, Mar 27, 2008 at 09:32:42AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> I like it! Btw - spole to Eric Johnson at internews; let's make sure
>his funding and our for Tor are not duplicating efforts.....

Hi Ken,

Glad you like the blurb. What's our next step for that?

As for funding, it's true that Internews and IBB are both interested in
some of the same outcomes. From our perspective, though, more funding
means we can get more people involved, so we can do an even better and
more thorough job -- so I'm not worried about duplicating efforts.

I gave Kelly a list of several pages of things we'd like to do in
2008, and he picked out a small set to be cast in stone as our official
deliverables according to the contract. We're planning to get many more
of those items done than just the ones Kelly singled out. We're happy
to add more of them to the cast-in-stone set if that makes everybody
more comfortable.

Thanks!
--Roger

Kelly - pls stop by so we can discuss the next step...Ken

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Dingledine [mailto:███ (b)(6) ███████]
Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2008 5:57 PM
To: Ken Berman
Cc: Kelly DeYoe; ████████ (b)(6) ████████
Subject: Re: Tor IBB update for Feb

On Thu, Mar 27, 2008 at 09:32:42AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> I like it! Btw - spole to Eric Johnson at internews; let's make sure
>his funding and our for Tor are not duplicating efforts.....

Hi Ken,

Glad you like the blurb. What's our next step for that?

As for funding, it's true that Internews and IBB are both interested in
some of the same outcomes. From our perspective, though, more funding
means we can get more people involved, so we can do an even better and
more thorough job -- so I'm not worried about duplicating efforts.

I gave Kelly a list of several pages of things we'd like to do in
2008, and he picked out a small set to be cast in stone as our official
deliverables according to the contract. We're planning to get many more
of those items done than just the ones Kelly singled out. We're happy
to add more of them to the cast-in-stone set if that makes everybody
more comfortable.

Thanks!
--Roger

Good news! The Russians have agreed to play and we will be announcing Tor - Vidalia - Russian on the homepage....Ken

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Dingledine [mailto: [redacted] (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 4:16 AM
To: Ken Berman
Cc: Kelly DeYoe; [redacted] (b) (6)
Subject: Re: Tor IBB update for March

On Tue, Apr 22, 2008 at 07:55:14AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> Roger - they are not interested, saying there are no issues re
>censorship right now. In fact, they have shown us sites that are much
>more open than ours in terms of highly humourous and critical sites of
>Putin. I feel it is just a matter of time before the Putin warriors shut
>down the voices, but so far they feel this tool is not needed.
>
> Kelly - any other candidates come to mind?

Exciting. But wasn't our plan to get the word out *before* it's needed?
I certainly hear from others in Russia who think it's a fine time to
start teaching people there about Tor. We could proceed with a Russian
roll-out via pgpru and the human rights groups they know.

But in any case... I think Iran is still not the best first target, a)
since they have so little bandwidth and b) because no Farsi translators
have emerged yet.

If I remember correctly, our only reason for skipping over China was
"they're so big"? If you're still keen to deploy to the VoA user base,
perhaps we could bite off a small subset of their China mailing list
and only send to those people for now?

--Roger

On Fri, Apr 25, 2008 at 04:31:35PM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> Roger - heard you gave a "UDP roadmap" to Internews. Pls fill us in. Ken

Yes. We've been working with them to produce a joint proposal for the
DRL grant that appeared out of nowhere at the beginning of the month.

It looks like it'll be Internews, Tor, and Psiphon. Ethan tells me
that he dropped three names (Tor, Psiphon, Ultrareach) when the State
Dept people asked him for advice. So it looks like the strategy is to
collect as many of those names under our roof as we can. :) Internews
is mainly driving the proposal, since they seem to think they have the
best strings to pull. So I figured I'd take the opportunity to flesh out
some of the circumvention-related and network-scaling tasks we want to
tackle in the next three years. My one-year crystal ball is pretty good
these days, but my three-year crystal ball is really fuzzy, alas.

I've attached the latest version of the pdf (just finished this morning)
for your enjoyment. Section 2.2 is the "UDP roadmap" -- but since the
conclusion of the brainstorming on it was that we probably shouldn't do
it, I didn't think it was urgent to bring up.

Nobody really knows when the DRL money would start flowing if it does
(guesses range between Sept 2008 and Sept 2009), so it's probably a
good bet that we'll be done with (or have made significant progress on)
some of these items by then. Several of these items we're hoping to have
done this summer, for example -- but until something is actually done
and working, there's plenty of room for it to grow much larger than we
expected it to be (plus, a lot of these topics are the sort where more
attention can mean an even better answer), so it seems smart not to just
assume it'll be done and in place when the contract finally starts.

I figure that means we'll reevaluate once we know how much money we're
getting, on what timeframe, for what, and how many of the tasks in the
current pdf we've finished by then, and we can come up with a more
realistic and/or ambitious project plan then.

Hope that helps to explain. Let me know if you have any questions or
want more details on any of my speculations. :)

Thanks!
--Roger

On Tue, Apr 22, 2008 at 07:55:14AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> Roger - they are not interested, saying there are no issues re
>censorship right now. In fact, they have shown us sites that are much
>more open than ours in terms of highly humourous and critical sites of
>Putin. I feel it is just a matter of time before the Putin warriors shut
>down the voices, but so far they feel this tool is not needed.
>
> Kelly - any other candidates come to mind?

Exciting. But wasn't our plan to get the word out *before* it's needed?
I certainly hear from others in Russia who think it's a fine time to
start teaching people there about Tor. We could proceed with a Russian
roll-out via pgpru and the human rights groups they know.

But in any case... I think Iran is still not the best first target, a)
since they have so little bandwidth and b) because no Farsi translators
have emerged yet.

If I remember correctly, our only reason for skipping over China was
"they're so big"? If you're still keen to deploy to the VoA user base,
perhaps we could bite off a small subset of their China mailing list
and only send to those people for now?

--Roger

Thanks, Roger. Looks like the head of our Russian Service was "let go" and we should probably revisit Tor for Russia again.

Kelly - let's caucus with Elez, the Division head when you are free.

Ken

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Dingledine [mailto:███████ (b) (6) ███████]
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2008 9:54 AM
To: Ken Berman
Cc: Kelly DeYoe; ███████ (b) (6) ███████
Subject: Re: Tor IBB update for March

On Fri, Apr 25, 2008 at 04:31:35PM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> Roger - heard you gave a "UDP roadmap" to Internews. Pls fill us in.
> Ken

Yes. We've been working with them to produce a joint proposal for the DRL grant that appeared out of nowhere at the beginning of the month.

It looks like it'll be Internews, Tor, and Psiphon. Ethan tells me that he dropped three names (Tor, Psiphon, Ultrareach) when the State Dept people asked him for advice. So it looks like the strategy is to collect as many of those names under our roof as we can. :) Internews is mainly driving the proposal, since they seem to think they have the best strings to pull. So I figured I'd take the opportunity to flesh out some of the circumvention-related and network-scaling tasks we want to tackle in the next three years. My one-year crystal ball is pretty good these days, but my three-year crystal ball is really fuzzy, alas.

I've attached the latest version of the pdf (just finished this morning) for your enjoyment. Section 2.2 is the "UDP roadmap" -- but since the conclusion of the brainstorming on it was that we probably shouldn't do it, I didn't think it was urgent to bring up.

Nobody really knows when the DRL money would start flowing if it does (guesses range between Sept 2008 and Sept 2009), so it's probably a good bet that we'll be done with (or have made significant progress on) some of these items by then. Several of these items we're hoping to have done this summer, for example -- but until something is actually done and working, there's plenty of room for it to grow much larger than we expected it to be (plus, a lot of these topics are the sort where more attention can mean an even better answer), so it seems smart not to just assume it'll be done and in place when the contract finally starts.

I figure that means we'll reevaluate once we know how much money we're getting, on what timeframe, for what, and how many of the tasks in the current pdf we've finished by then, and we can come up with a more realistic and/or ambitious project plan then.

Hope that helps to explain. Let me know if you have any questions or want more details on any of my speculations. :)

Thanks!
--Roger

Roger - heard you gave a "UDP roadmap" to Internews. Pls fill us in. Ken

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Dingledine [mailto: [(b) (6)]
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 4:16 AM
To: Ken Berman
Cc: Kelly DeYoe; [(b) (6)]
Subject: Re: Tor IBB update for March

On Tue, Apr 22, 2008 at 07:55:14AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> Roger - they are not interested, saying there are no issues re
>censorship right now. In fact, they have shown us sites that are much
>more open than ours in terms of highly humourous and critical sites of
>Putin. I feel it is just a matter of time before the Putin warriors shut
>down the voices, but so far they feel this tool is not needed.
>
> Kelly - any other candidates come to mind?

Exciting. But wasn't our plan to get the word out *before* it's needed?
I certainly hear from others in Russia who think it's a fine time to
start teaching people there about Tor. We could proceed with a Russian
roll-out via pgpru and the human rights groups they know.

But in any case... I think Iran is still not the best first target, a)
since they have so little bandwidth and b) because no Farsi translators
have emerged yet.

If I remember correctly, our only reason for skipping over China was
"they're so big"? If you're still keen to deploy to the VoA user base,
perhaps we could bite off a small subset of their China mailing list
and only send to those people for now?

--Roger

Roger - they are not interested, saying there are no issues re censorship right now. In fact, they have shown us sites that are much more open than ours in terms of highly humourous and critical sites of Putin. I feel it is just a matter of time before the Putin warriors shut down the voices, but so far they feel this tool is not needed.

Kelly - any other candidates come to mind?
Ken

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Dingledine [mailto: (b) (6)]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2008 6:06 AM
To: Ken Berman; Kelly DeYoe
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: Tor IBB update for March

Hi folks,

Attached is the March update for our progress.

I'm curious to hear if anything further has happened with Vlad or the other Russian folks?

Thanks,
--Roger

On Tue, Apr 29, 2008 at 11:01:15AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> Good news! The Russians have agreed to play and we will be announcing
>Tor - Vidalia - Russian on the homepage....Ken

Great to hear.

Do we have any idea what timeframe this will be? It might be a good
move to first put out an updated version of the Tor Browser Bundle that
includes the release candidate we just put out.

Thanks!
--Roger

Kelly - when do you think we'll have the text for translation and the web site posting? Ken

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Dingledine [mailto:████ (b) (6) ████.
Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2008 10:27 PM
To: Ken Berman
Cc: Kelly DeYoe; ████ (b) (6) ████
Subject: Re: Tor IBB update for March

On Tue, Apr 29, 2008 at 11:01:15AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> Good news! The Russians have agreed to play and we will be announcing
>Tor - Vidalia - Russian on the homepage....Ken

Great to hear.

Do we have any idea what timeframe this will be? It might be a good
move to first put out an updated version of the Tor Browser Bundle that
includes the release candidate we just put out.

Thanks!
--Roger

we're on!

Roger Dingledine wrote:

> On Wed, Dec 12, 2007 at 06:13:11PM -0500, Kelly DeYoe wrote:
>
>> Wednesday 12/19 at 4pm EST works for me. Roger?
>
> Hi folks,
>
> I'm still on for 4pm today. But I'll be away from email for most of the
> time between now and then. So if anything comes up, give me a call on my
> cell phone rather than assuming I'll see the email.
>
> I'm looking forward to telling you about all the good stuff we've done. :)
>
> --Roger

From: Roger Dingledine
To: Kelly DeYoe
Cc: Ken Berman
Subject: Re: Tor IBB update for Nov
Date: Wednesday, December 19, 2007 10:57:55 AM

On Wed, Dec 12, 2007 at 06:13:11PM -0500, Kelly DeYoe wrote:
> Wednesday 12/19 at 4pm EST works for me. Roger?

Hi folks,

I'm still on for 4pm today. But I'll be away from email for most of the
time between now and then. So if anything comes up, give me a call on my
cell phone rather than assuming I'll see the email.

I'm looking forward to telling you about all the good stuff we've done. :)

--Roger

On Wed, Dec 12, 2007 at 06:32:32PM -0500, Roger Dingledine wrote:
> On Wed, Dec 12, 2007 at 09:13:07AM -0500, Ken Berman wrote:
> > that is the ONE day I am out. How about Wed at 4:00? sorry about that.....
>
> Sounds good, let's pencil that in. I can definitely do Wednesday
> afternoon, and will try to let you know soon if 4pm is a bad time
> during that afternoon.

Yep, 4pm on Wednesday is fine.

--Roger

On Wed, Dec 12, 2007 at 09:13:07AM -0500, Ken Berman wrote:
> that is the ONE day I am out. How about Wed at 4:00? sorry about that.....

Sounds good, let's pencil that in. I can definitely do Wednesday
afternoon, and will try to let you know soon if 4pm is a bad time
during that afternoon.

--Roger

On Tue, Dec 11, 2007 at 06:22:58PM -0500, Kelly DeYoe wrote:
> How about Tuesday 12/18 at 3:30pm EST?

Works for me.

We'll aim to have some good news for you at that point (or slightly before that point so you can poke at it first).

--Roger

Kelly wil propose a time...............

Roger Dingledine wrote:

> On Tue, Dec 11, 2007 at 08:14:56AM -0500, Ken Berman wrote:
>
>> Let's talk......
>
> Sounds good. I'm available basically any time between now and the
> 24th.
>
> Bonus points if it's after noon, so it doesn't screw up my coding
> day
> too much. :)
>
> Thanks,
> --Roger

On Tue, Dec 11, 2007 at 08:14:56AM -0500, Ken Berman wrote:
> Let's talk......

Sounds good. I'm available basically any time between now and the 24th.

Bonus points if it's after noon, so it doesn't screw up my coding day
too much. :)

Thanks,
--Roger

Let's talk......

Roger Dingledine wrote:

>Hi folks,
>
>Attached is the Nov update for our progress. It's basically more set-up
>for the December push, which I summarized in my email last week.
>
>Should we schedule a conference call for this month, or should we just
>plow ahead and we'll get the summaries at the end of the month? I'm ok
>either way. :)
>
>Thanks!
>--Roger
>
>
>

I just whipped up a set of things to talk about today. I know
it's 10 minutes before the call, but better that than 2 minutes.
Anyway, this is my cheat-sheet, and now you have it too. :)

- bridgedb server (http and smtp)
  - reachability testing on bridges
  - bridge communities (was bridge families)
  - vidalia text for knowing how to find a bridge
- geoip
  - bridge relays provide per-country summaries when publishing extrainfo
    docs
  - vidalia now uses ssl when doing its geoip lookups
- progress on new tls handshake
- in-progress website mirroring proposal
- in-progress proposal for letting torflow give feedback to the
  directory authorities, about which relays are bad/broken/etc
- torbutton-dev is getting closer to ready
- torusb
  - includes vidalia, firefox, tor, torbutton
  - vidalia learns when tor has a circuit ready
  - vidalia launches firefox, after tor says a circuit is ready
  - next: include polipo
  - next: include progress bar during startup

Questions about torusb:
- incomplete farsi translation?
- simplified chinese or traditional?
- Is looking like IE (as ToaST does) good for security
- Is having a splash screen likely to attract unwanted attention
- How big can USB Tor be on disk/when downloaded
- Should we cache directory information on the USB device/hard disk
- How much of a worry is wearing out the flash

I think we covered it. Kelly?? Ken

Roger Dingledine wrote:

>Hi folks,
>
>Attached is the Oct update for our progress. Progress is basically what
>you saw last week. In the next week or so we're hoping to deploy the new
>(more subtle) TLS handshake, and then we can focus on the public bridge
>distribution strategies and on a safe USB Windows Tor image.
>
>Should we schedule a conference call for this month, or did we take
>care of that last week?
>
>Thanks!
>--Roger
>
>

Roger - how about 11:00. You can meet our guy from RFA and we can talk and do lunch. Ken

Roger Dingledine wrote:

> On Fri, Oct 12, 2007 at 02:37:59PM -0400, Kelly DeYoe wrote:
>
>> I'm back on Monday 10/29, so November 2nd is good for
>> me.
>
> That would be tomorrow. I'm happy to drop by whenever is good for
> you --
> my flight back is at 9pm, and I've got nothing else scheduled for
> the day.
>
> Left to my own devices, I'd plan to get there around 12pm or 1pm,
> which
> means I can get work done on either side of the visit.
>
> But I'm happy to have any other schedule if a different one would
> work better.
>
> Thanks!
> --Roger

On Fri, Oct 12, 2007 at 02:37:59PM -0400, Kelly DeYoe wrote:
> I'm back on Monday 10/29, so November 2nd is good for me.

That would be tomorrow. I'm happy to drop by whenever is good for you --
my flight back is at 9pm, and I've got nothing else scheduled for the day.

Left to my own devices, I'd plan to get there around 12pm or 1pm, which
means I can get work done on either side of the visit.

But I'm happy to have any other schedule if a different one would
work better.

Thanks!
--Roger

>On Fri, Oct 12, 2007 at 07:43:01AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> > Let's chat next week re the report. Wed 10/17 at 1:30?
>
>It's now on my calendar. Great.

I have a meeting that runs *until* 1:30pm so please use my cell number.

Thanks!

--

Shava Nerad
Development Director
The Tor Project
http://tor.eff.org/
http://blogs.law.harvard.edu/anonymous/
(b) (6)
(b) (6)
(b) (6)   (cell)
skype: shava23

On Fri, Oct 12, 2007 at 07:43:01AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> Roger - Nov 2 is OK for me. Kelly - will you be on leave?

If Nov 2 is bad for Kelly, but Nov 6 is good for Kelly, I can
maybe work something out. Let me know. :)

> Let's chat next week re the report. Wed 10/17 at 1:30?

It's now on my calendar. Great.

--Roger

Roger - Nov 2 is OK for me. Kelly - will you be on leave?

Let's chat next week re the report. Wed 10/17 at 1:30?

Ken

Roger Dingledine wrote:

>Hi folks,
>
>Attached is the Sept update for our progress. Main updates are that
>we got bridges and bridge authorities more stable again (turns out
>we introduced some bugs while adding other features); we've started a
>document brainstorming details on how to get our TLS handshake to not
>stand out; and we've made a lot of progress on the new Torbutton version
>that promises to help ordinary users stay secure from application-level
>attacks while using Tor.
>
>I'm up for a conference call pretty much whenever (especially if it's
>after noon rather than in the morning. :)
>
>Also, my day-for-dropping-by-IBB is looking like it should be Nov 2.
>Does that still work for you two? Do you prefer morning or afternoon
>(or heck, both)? With luck I'll show you our snazzy Vidalia bridge
>interface actually working at that point.
>
>--Roger
>
>

On Thu, Oct 11, 2007 at 02:32:29AM -0400, Roger Dingledine wrote:
> Attached is the Sept update for our progress.

And attached is an updated version, with successful copy-pasting of the
line in the first section that used to be a cliff-hanger ("fixes two
huge performance flaws in"). Sorry about that. :)

--Roger

On Thu, Nov 01, 2007 at 03:12:44PM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:
> Roger - how about 11:00. You can meet our guy from RFA and we can talk
> and do lunch. Ken

Sounds great. I'll aim to be there around 11 then, and I'll give
Kelly a call when I'm nearby.

--Roger