

**From:** [Andrew Lewman](#)  
**To:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Cc:** (b) (6) [Marcia Jones](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor Solutions Corp Invoice 8  
**Date:** Thursday, March 07, 2013 1:52:58 PM

---

On Thu, Mar 07, 2013 at 05:11:14PM +0000, (b) (6) wrote 0.6K bytes in 0 lines about:  
: Hey Andrew, as a reminder, invoices should go to Marcia Jones instead of Malita Dyson now for  
: payment processing. Thanks.

:

: Marcia - please consider this approved and process this invoice for payment.

Sorry, I knew that too.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** Andrew Lewman  
**To:** Kelly DeYoe  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Marcia Jones  
**Subject:** Re: Tor Solutions Corp Invoice 8  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 20, 2013 3:15:24 PM

---

On Tue, Mar 19, 2013 at 08:02:32PM +0000, (b) (6) wrote 1.1K bytes in 0 lines about:  
: Andrew, hate to say it, but this invoice has also been rejected, as your CCR/SAM registration is still listed as expired as of 1/13/2013. Please correct this before (re-)submitting any additional invoices or they will continue to be rejected.

Ok, I'll look into this again. I just logged in and it shows us as valid.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** Kelly DeYoe  
**To:** Andrew Lewman  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Marcia Jones  
**Subject:** RE: Tor Solutions Corp Invoice 8  
**Date:** Thursday, March 07, 2013 12:11:16 PM

---

Hey Andrew, as a reminder, invoices should go to Marcia Jones instead of Malita Dyson now for payment processing. Thanks.

Marcia - please consider this approved and process this invoice for payment.

-k

---

**From:** Andrew Lewman [(b) (6)]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 03, 2013 10:23 PM  
**To:** Kelly DeYoe; Malita Dyson  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Tor Solutions Corp Invoice 8

Hello Kelly and Malita,

Please find attached the 8th invoice for our contract. Thanks.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** [Andrew Lewman](#)  
**To:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Cc:** [Marcia Jones](#); (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor Solutions Corp Invoice  
**Date:** Monday, September 30, 2013 12:00:51 PM  
**Attachments:** [2013-08-15-TorSolutions-Invoice-13.pdf](#)

---

On Thu, 26 Sep 2013 21:12:30 +0000  
Kelly DeYoe <(b) (6)> wrote:

> I'm afraid invoice #BBG20130815 has been rejected and needs to be  
> corrected and resubmitted to reflect the individual line item amounts  
> from the contract as modified (see attached), as well as having the  
> correct period of performance as listed: "09/18/2012-09/17/2013",  
> and the invoice date should reflect the current date when resubmitted.

Hello Kelly and Marcia,

Please find attached the corrected invoice. Thanks.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** Andrew Lewman  
**To:** Kelly DeYoe  
**Cc:** ~~March Jones; [REDACTED]~~  
**Subject:** Re: Tor Solutions Corp Invoice  
**Date:** Monday, September 30, 2013 6:09:48 PM

---

On Mon, 30 Sep 2013 21:16:03 +0000

Kelly DeYoe <[REDACTED]> wrote:

> Thanks Andrew, this invoice looks like it contains the relevant  
> details broken out, so I hope that it should be accepted. As you've  
> probably heard, the federal government is likely to be shutdown as of  
> tomorrow, and many BBG employees (myself included) will be furloughed  
> during the shutdown. So while I've approved this invoice and sent it  
> to be processed for payment, it is unlikely to be processed and paid  
> until the shutdown is over.

Thanks Kelley. I've heard about some rumored shutdown indeed.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** Melissa Gilroy  
**To:** Andrew Lewman; Kelly DeYoe; Marcia Jones  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Tor Solutions Corp Invoice  
**Date:** Saturday, September 14, 2013 3:55:23 PM  
**Attachments:** [2013-08-15-TorSolutions-Invoice-13.pdf](#)  
[2012-08-07-TorProject-Invoice-1.pdf](#)  
[2012-08-30-TorSolutions-Invoice-2.pdf](#)  
[2012-09-28-TorSolutions-Invoice-3.pdf](#)  
[2012-10-28-TorSolutions-Invoice-4.pdf](#)  
[2012-11-28-TorSolutions-Invoice-5.pdf](#)  
[2013-01-04-TorSolutions-Invoice-6.pdf](#)  
[2013-01-28-TorSolutions-Invoice-7.pdf](#)  
[2013-03-03-TorSolutions-Invoice-8.pdf](#)  
[2013-04-11-TorSolutions-Invoice-9.pdf](#)  
[2013-05-13-TorSolutions-Invoice-10.pdf](#)  
[2013-06-04-TorSolutions-Invoice-11.pdf](#)  
[2013-07-09-TorSolutions-Invoice-12.pdf](#)

---

Kelly and Marcia,  
According to our accounting records we have unpaid invoices still outstanding amounting to \$550,066.70. I am not sure if your accounting department applies payments the same as we do (which is first in, first out). I am resending all our invoices for this contract. Can you see if your accounting department can check to see which ones they did not receive? I am hoping this will make it easier for accounting to determine which invoices are unpaid. Let me know if there is anything else I should do.

Thanks,  
Melissa

Melissa Gilroy  
Certified Public Accountant  
Phone (b) (6)  
Fax (b) (6)  
[www.mgilroycpa.com](http://www.mgilroycpa.com)  
(b) (6)

[http://www.linkedin.com/home?trk=hb\\_tab\\_home\\_top](http://www.linkedin.com/home?trk=hb_tab_home_top)

#### IRS Circular 230 Notice

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used by any taxpayer, for the purpose of avoiding U.S. tax penalties.

This email may contain certain confidential or privileged material and is intended for the use solely by the above referenced recipient. Any review, copy, printing, disclosure, distribution, or other use by any other person or entity is strictly prohibited. If you are not the named recipient, or believe you have received this email in error, please notify Melissa Gilroy and delete the copy you received. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrew Lewman [mailto:(b) (6)]  
Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2013 5:06 PM  
To: Kelly DeYoe; Marcia Jones  
Cc: (b) (6)  
Subject: Tor Solutions Corp Invoice

Hello Kelly and Marcia,

Please find attached our invoice.

We've resolved all problems with sam.gov and our registrations should be complete as of today.

We should probably review which invoices need to be re-submitted.

Thanks.

--

Andrew

<http://tpo.is/contact>

pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** Kelly DeYoe  
**To:** Andrew Lewman; Marcia Jones  
**Subject:** RE: Tor Solutions Invoice #2  
**Date:** Thursday, August 30, 2012 3:15:41 PM

---

Thanks Andrew, I will approve the invoice and Marcia will process it for payment.

-k

---

From: Andrew Lewman [REDACTED] (b) (6)  
Sent: Thursday, August 30, 2012 1:11 PM  
To: Marcia Jones  
Cc: Kelly DeYoe  
Subject: Tor Solutions Invoice #2

Hello Marcia and Kelly,

Please find attached our second invoice for our active contract.

Thanks!

--  
Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475



**From:** [Andrew Lewman](#)  
**To:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor Solutions Invoice #7  
**Date:** Friday, February 15, 2013 5:48:57 PM

---

On Thu, 14 Feb 2013 20:12:08 +0000  
Kelly DeYoe <[\\_\\_\\_\\_\\_](#)> wrote:

> Hey Andrew, I've been trying to see if there is any alternate way we  
> can pay you, and the answer seems to be a resounding no. CCR/SAM is  
> how the Federal government registers and pays its contractors,  
> everyone we pay with the exception of extremely small credit card  
> purchases (under \$2500) must have a current registration to be paid.  
> I hope you can resolve these issues shortly so we can process your  
> invoices again.

Ok. I'm working on it. The sam.gov people appear incompetent so far.  
I'm working with them to try to get our old CCR profile moved over as  
step 1 of a very long process.

I'll let you know when I make progress.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** Kelly DeYoe  
**To:** Andrew Lewman; Marcia Jones  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Tor Solutions Invoice #7  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 30, 2013 3:00:00 PM

---

Thanks Andrew, I have approved the invoice and it is being processed for payment.

-k

---

**From:** Andrew Lewman [(b) (6)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 29, 2013 2:57 PM  
**To:** Marcia Jones; Kelly DeYoe  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Tor Solutions Invoice #7

Hello Kelly and Marcia,

Please find attached our seventh invoice for the current project.

Thanks.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** [Andrew Lewman](#)  
**To:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] [Marcia Jones](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor Solutions Invoice #7  
**Date:** Monday, February 11, 2013 12:28:27 PM

---

On Mon, 4 Feb 2013 16:56:20 +0000  
Kelly DeYoe <[REDACTED]> wrote:

> Andrew - I just tried calling you as well, but I wanted to let you  
> know that Marcia just informed me that this invoice has been rejected  
> due to problems with Tor Solutions Corporation's CCR registration.  
> There are a bunch of errors, but the main one seems to be that the  
> CCR registration has either expired or been deleted.

Is there any way we can get paid another way while we resolve this?

I'm working with the sam.gov tech team to resolve their issues. They basically act like spammers and mail from fake addresses, which causes lots of their email to bounce as spam (meaning it's not even accepted by email servers).

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** Andrew Lewman  
**To:** Kelly DeYoe  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] Marcia Jones  
**Subject:** Re: Tor Solutions Invoice #7  
**Date:** Monday, February 04, 2013 12:13:49 PM

---

On Mon, 4 Feb 2013 16:56:20 +0000  
Kelly DeYoe <[REDACTED]> wrote:

> Andrew - I just tried calling you as well, but I wanted to let you  
> know that Marcia just informed me that this invoice has been rejected  
> due to problems with Tor Solutions Corporation's CCR registration.  
> There are a bunch of errors, but the main one seems to be that the  
> CCR registration has either expired or been deleted.  
>  
> CCR info is now managed through SAM  
> ( <https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/> ) and it looks like your  
> registration for Tor Solutions expired on 01/13/2013, so you'll need  
> to update the info and resubmit the invoice to us (with the current  
> date on the day of resubmission) so that we can process it for  
> payment again.

Odd. I just updated it for another contract. I'll check again. Sorry  
for the issue.

--  
Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** Kelly DeYoe  
**To:** Andrew Lewman  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Marcia Jones  
**Subject:** RE: Tor Solutions Invoice #7  
**Date:** Monday, February 04, 2013 11:56:21 AM  
**Importance:** High

---

Andrew - I just tried calling you as well, but I wanted to let you know that Marcia just informed me that this invoice has been rejected due to problems with Tor Solutions Corporation's CCR registration. There are a bunch of errors, but the main one seems to be that the CCR registration has either expired or been deleted.

CCR info is now managed through SAM ( <https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/> ) and it looks like your registration for Tor Solutions expired on 01/13/2013, so you'll need to update the info and resubmit the invoice to us (with the current date on the day of resubmission) so that we can process it for payment again.

-k

---

**From:** Kelly DeYoe  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 30, 2013 2:59 PM  
**To:** Andrew Lewman; Marcia Jones  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Tor Solutions Invoice #7

Thanks Andrew, I have approved the invoice and it is being processed for payment.

-k

---

**From:** Andrew Lewman (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 29, 2013 2:57 PM  
**To:** Marcia Jones; Kelly DeYoe  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Tor Solutions Invoice #7

Hello Kelly and Marcia,

Please find attached our seventh invoice for the current project.

Thanks.

--  
Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** Andrew Lewman  
**To:** Kelly DeYoe  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] Marcia Jones  
**Subject:** Re: Tor Solutions Invoice #7  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 26, 2013 5:29:39 PM

---

On Mon, 4 Feb 2013 16:56:20 +0000

Kelly DeYoe <[REDACTED]> wrote:

> CCR info is now managed through SAM  
> ( <https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/> ) and it looks like your  
> registration for Tor Solutions expired on 01/13/2013, so you'll need  
> to update the info and resubmit the invoice to us (with the current  
> date on the day of resubmission) so that we can process it for  
> payment again.

After a painful few weeks, I believe this is updated. Tor Solutions Corp is in SAM and should be ready to receive payment.

Thanks.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** Kelly DeYoe  
**To:** Andrew Lewman; Marcia Jones  
**Cc:** (b) (6); Malita Dyson  
**Subject:** RE: Tor Solutions Invoice  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 07, 2012 12:38:50 PM

---

Andrew, sorry I forgot to tell you, but our invoices are now being processed for payment here by Marcia Jones, who I've added here, so please send future invoices to Marcia and myself. Malita is no longer processing our invoices, so you can remove her from future invoices, thanks.

-k

---

**From:** Andrew Lewman [(b) (6)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 07, 2012 10:54 AM  
**To:** Malita Dyson  
**Cc:** Kelly DeYoe; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Tor Solutions Invoice

Hello Malita and Kelly,

Please find attached our first invoice for the current contract between TSC and BBG. Please let me know if there are any questions.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** Sho Ho  
**To:** Andrew Lewman; Kelly DeYoe  
**Cc:** Roger Dingedine  
**Subject:** RE: Tor Solutions Progress in October  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 28, 2012 5:42:00 PM

---

Thanks, Andrew.

-Sho

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrew Lewman [mailto:(b) (6)]  
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 2:46 PM  
To: Kelly DeYoe; Sho Ho  
Cc: Roger Dingedine  
Subject: Tor Solutions Progress in October

Hello Kelly and Sho,

Attached is our progress report for October. I know you're probably going to see Roger in the next week or two, but if you have questions, feel free to ask.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475



**From:** Sho Ho  
**To:** Andrew Lewman; Kelly DeYoe  
**Cc:** Roger Dingedine  
**Subject:** RE: Tor Solutions Progress in October  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 28, 2012 5:42:00 PM

---

Thanks, Andrew.

-Sho

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrew Lewman [mailto:(b) (6)]  
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 2:46 PM  
To: Kelly DeYoe; Sho Ho  
Cc: Roger Dingedine  
Subject: Tor Solutions Progress in October

Hello Kelly and Sho,

Attached is our progress report for October. I know you're probably going to see Roger in the next week or two, but if you have questions, feel free to ask.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** [Andrew Lewman](#)  
**To:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Cc:** [Sho Ho](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor Solutions Progress Report  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 07, 2013 5:38:04 PM

---

On Wed, 7 Aug 2013 21:21:10 +0000  
Kelly DeYoe <[\\_\\_\\_\\_\\_](#)> wrote:

> No, that is incorrect, all the work should have already completed.  
> The 9/30 date was a mistake I believe, which was supposed to have  
> been corrected, as options cannot extend beyond the main contract  
> period of performance.

Ok. Then we should also bill you the full amount of the extensions this month too. Sound right?

We never did receive an updated options contract, so from our perspective, we have until 9/30. If not, that's fine, but we can do what you'd prefer.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** [Andrew Lewman](#)  
**To:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Cc:** [Sho Ho](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor Solutions Progress Report  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 07, 2013 5:38:04 PM

---

On Wed, 7 Aug 2013 21:21:10 +0000  
Kelly DeYoe <[\\_\\_\\_\\_\\_](#)> wrote:

> No, that is incorrect, all the work should have already completed.  
> The 9/30 date was a mistake I believe, which was supposed to have  
> been corrected, as options cannot extend beyond the main contract  
> period of performance.

Ok. Then we should also bill you the full amount of the extensions this month too. Sound right?

We never did receive an updated options contract, so from our perspective, we have until 9/30. If not, that's fine, but we can do what you'd prefer.

--

Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** Kelly DeYoe  
**To:** Andrew Lewman; Sho Ho  
**Subject:** RE: Tor Solutions Progress Report  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 07, 2013 5:21:12 PM

---

No, that is incorrect, all the work should have already completed. The 9/30 date was a mistake I believe, which was supposed to have been corrected, as options cannot extend beyond the main contract period of performance.

-k

---

**From:** Andrew Lewman [REDACTED] (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 07, 2013 1:40 PM  
**To:** Kelly DeYoe; Sho Ho  
**Subject:** Tor Solutions Progress Report

Hello Kelly and Sho,

Attached is our monthly progress report. Sorry for the delays due to sickness and travel. I believe this is our last progress report for the non-option work. The option work continues until September 30 2013. Please correct me if I'm wrong.

Thanks!

--  
Andrew  
<http://tpo.is/contact>  
pgp 0x6B4D6475

**From:** [Roger Dingleline](#)  
**To:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Cc:** [Bennett Haselton](#); [Hiu Ho](#); [Ken Berman](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 14, 2006 7:15:21 PM

---

On Tue, Feb 14, 2006 at 06:58:50PM -0500, (b) (6) wrote:

> I've read through your outline Roger, and think I have plenty for us to discuss on Thursday. :-)

Great.

> We don't have a voice conference bridge for this, so Roger and Bennet, Hiu and I will just call you from our office. One thing that would be useful for this purpose would be to have a good telephone numbers to reach each of you.

My cell is (b) (6) It's also my home and work number.

I'm meeting the CBS evening news people shortly. This is nuts.  
But, better to say yes than to say no.

--Roger

**From:** [Bennett Haselton](#)  
**To:** [Roger Dingledine](#)  
**Cc:** [Kelly DeYoe](#); [Hju Ho](#); [Ken Berman](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 14, 2006 4:42:41 PM

---

At 03:14 PM 2/14/2006 -0500, Roger Dingledine wrote:

> On Tue, Feb 14, 2006 at 11:45:19AM -0800, Bennett Haselton wrote:

> > Yep 1 PM PST Thursday works for me too.

>

> Great. Can you guys thumb through the outline I sent out and let me know  
> if there are questions or issues that I didn't touch on that should be  
> addressed? Then on Thursday we can talk about where we want to go, what  
> skills and people-hours we have available to us, and how we're going to  
> get there.

OK.

> > Roger -- good luck on CNBC today :)

>

> Actually, it's tomorrow, I think. I'm guessing they want to involve  
> Chris Smith's senate hearing and so on. I guess I'll find out.

The same day you're going to be on CNN? Not bad :)

-Bennett

(b) (6)

<http://www.peacefire.org>

(b) (6)

**From:** [Roger Dingleline](#)  
**To:** [Bennett Haselton](#)  
**Cc:** [Kelly DeYoe](#); [Hiu Ho](#); [Ken Berman](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 14, 2006 3:14:05 PM

---

On Tue, Feb 14, 2006 at 11:45:19AM -0800, Bennett Haselton wrote:  
> Yep 1 PM PST Thursday works for me too.

Great. Can you guys thumb through the outline I sent out and let me know if there are questions or issues that I didn't touch on that should be addressed? Then on Thursday we can talk about where we want to go, what skills and people-hours we have available to us, and how we're going to get there.

> Roger -- good luck on CNBC today :)

Actually, it's tomorrow, I think. I'm guessing they want to involve Chris Smith's senate hearing and so on. I guess I'll find out.

--Roger

**From:** [Bennett Haselton](#)  
**To:** [Roger Dingledine](#); [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Cc:** [Hiu Ho](#); [Ken Berman](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 14, 2006 2:45:19 PM

---

Yep 1 PM PST Thursday works for me too.

Roger -- good luck on CNBC today :)

Ken -- the studio where I did the spot, burned me a DVD of the show before I left. Let me know if you want me to mail you a copy.

-Bennett

At 02:22 PM 2/14/2006 -0500, Roger Dingledine wrote:

>On Tue, Feb 14, 2006 at 02:21:32PM -0500, Kelly DeYoe wrote:  
> > I'm going to suggest Thursday at 4pm EST / 1pm PST, since that works  
> > well for both Hiu and I.  
> >  
> > Roger and Bennett, can you do a conference call at that time?  
>  
>Yes. Sounds great.  
>  
>--Roger



**From:** [Roger Dingledine](#)  
**To:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Cc:** [Hiu Ho](#); [Ken Berman](#); [Bennett Haselton](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 14, 2006 2:22:12 PM

---

On Tue, Feb 14, 2006 at 02:21:32PM -0500, Kelly DeYoe wrote:  
> I'm going to suggest Thursday at 4pm EST / 1pm PST, since that works  
> well for both Hiu and I.  
>  
> Roger and Bennett, can you do a conference call at that time?

Yes. Sounds great.

--Roger

**From:** (b) (6)  
**To:** Roger Dingleline  
**Cc:** Kelly DeYoe; Hiu Ho; Bennett Haselton  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Monday, February 13, 2006 7:53:09 PM

---

How interesting. Bennett and I were just on CNBC.....

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Roger Dingleline <(b) (6)>  
**Date:** Monday, February 13, 2006 5:48 pm  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support

> On Mon, Feb 13, 2006 at 11:32:25AM -0500, Kelly DeYoe wrote:  
> > I'm afraid tomorrow (Tuesday) doesn't work well for me, I'd  
> propose  
> > either after 4pm EST Wednesday, or any time Thursday or Friday  
> afternoon.  
> Ok. Since CNBC wants to talk to me Wednesday at 4pm, I guess Thursday  
> afternoon is best. Does that work for the rest of you?  
>  
> --Roger  
>  
>

**From:** [Hiu Ho](#)  
**To:** [Roger Dingledine](#)  
**Cc:** [Kelly DeYoe](#); [Ken Berman](#); [Bennett Haselton](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Monday, February 13, 2006 6:29:44 PM

---

Roger Dingledine wrote:

>On Mon, Feb 13, 2006 at 11:32:25AM -0500, Kelly DeYoe wrote:  
>  
>  
>>I'm afraid tomorrow (Tuesday) doesn't work well for me, I'd propose  
>>either after 4pm EST Wednesday, or any time Thursday or Friday afternoon.  
>>  
>>  
>  
>Ok. Since CNBC wants to talk to me Wednesday at 4pm, I guess Thursday  
>afternoon is best. Does that work for the rest of you?  
>  
>--Roger  
>  
>  
Thursday afternoon is good for me.  
  
-Hiu

**From:** [Roger Dingleline](#)  
**To:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Cc:** [Ken Berman](#); [Hiu Ho](#); [Bennett Haselton](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Monday, February 13, 2006 5:48:20 PM

---

On Mon, Feb 13, 2006 at 11:32:25AM -0500, Kelly DeYoe wrote:  
> I'm afraid tomorrow (Tuesday) doesn't work well for me, I'd propose  
> either after 4pm EST Wednesday, or any time Thursday or Friday afternoon.

Ok. Since CNBC wants to talk to me Wednesday at 4pm, I guess Thursday afternoon is best. Does that work for the rest of you?

--Roger

**From:** [Roger Dingleline](#)  
**To:** [Ken Berman](#)  
**Cc:** [Bennett Haselton](#); [Kelly DeYoe](#); [Hiu Ho](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Monday, February 13, 2006 5:47:11 PM

---

On Mon, Feb 13, 2006 at 12:49:19PM -0500, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Bennett - I understand we're on CNBC today on the same panel! 4:15  
> eastern.....

I told them I couldn't do today, so they've rescheduled me for Wednesday. I wonder if they're just gathering everybody in the WSJ article, or what. :)

--Roger

**From:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**To:** [Roger Dingledine](#)  
**Cc:** [Ken Berman](#); [Hiu Ho](#); [Bennett Haselton](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Monday, February 13, 2006 11:32:25 AM

---

I'm afraid tomorrow (Tuesday) doesn't work well for me, I'd propose either after 4pm EST Wednesday, or any time Thursday or Friday afternoon.

-k

Roger Dingledine wrote:

> On Mon, Feb 13, 2006 at 08:57:37AM -0500, Ken Berman wrote:  
>  
>> Kelly/Hiu - pls arrange a time convenient to both Roger and Bennett to  
>> discuss what formal support, you, Hiu, and Bennett can provide to Tor.  
>  
>  
> Great. How is Tuesday any time after noon Eastern?  
>  
> --Roger  
>

**From:** [Bennett Haselton](#)  
**To:** [Roger Dingledine](#); [Ken Berman](#); [Kelly DeYoe](#); [Hiu Ho](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Monday, February 13, 2006 10:15:12 AM

---

That sounds good to me. How about after lunch Eastern time, 1 or later? I've got a bit of a cold so that gives me time to get moving in the morning...

-Bennett

At 09:37 AM 2/13/2006 -0500, Roger Dingledine wrote:

> On Mon, Feb 13, 2006 at 08:57:37AM -0500, Ken Berman wrote:  
> > Kelly/Hiu - pls arrange a time convenient to both Roger and Bennett to  
> > discuss what formal support, you, Hiu, and Bennett can provide to Tor.  
>  
> Great. How is Tuesday any time after noon Eastern?  
>  
> --Roger

**From:** [Roger Dingleline](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]; [Hiu Ho](#); [Bennett Haselton](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Monday, February 13, 2006 9:37:39 AM

---

On Mon, Feb 13, 2006 at 08:57:37AM -0500, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Kelly/Hiu - pls arrange a time convenient to both Roger and Bennett to  
> discuss what formal support, you, Hiu, and Bennett can provide to Tor.

Great. How is Tuesday any time after noon Eastern?

--Roger



**From:** [Bennett Haselton](#)  
**To:** [Kelly DeYoe](#); [Roger Dingledine](#)  
**Cc:** [Hiu Ho](#); [Ken Berman](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor support  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 14, 2006 8:19:16 PM

---

I'm [REDACTED] again.

At 06:58 PM 2/14/2006 -0500, [REDACTED] wrote:

>I've read through your outline Roger, and think I have plenty for us to  
>discuss on Thursday. :-)

>

>We don't have a voice conference bridge for this, so Roger and Bennet, Hiu  
>and I will just call you from our office. One thing that would be useful  
>for this purpose would be to have a good telephone numbers to reach each  
>of you.

>

>-k

>

>

>----- Original Message -----

>From: Roger Dingledine <[\[REDACTED\]](#)>  
>Date: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 3:14 pm  
>Subject: Re: Tor support

>

> > On Tue, Feb 14, 2006 at 11:45:19AM -0800, Bennett Haselton wrote:

> > > Yep 1 PM PST Thursday works for me too.

> >

> > Great. Can you guys thumb through the outline I sent out and let  
> > me know

> > if there are questions or issues that I didn't touch on that

> > should be

> > addressed? Then on Thursday we can talk about where we want to go,

> > whatskills and people-hours we have available to us, and how we're

> > going to

> > get there.

**From:** Roger Dingleline  
**To:** Ken Berman  
**Cc:** Kelly DeYoe; (b) (6); (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Friday, July 25, 2008 5:15:46 AM

---

On Wed, Jul 23, 2008 at 11:26:02AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:

> Finally:  
>  
> <http://www.voanews.com/russian/tor.cfm>

Great!

We just pushed the updated version of the Russian Tor Browser Bundle page too.

Is VoA planning to link to the voanews url from somewhere, or is the plan to send a bunch of emails out at some point, or what?

> Kelly had to leave the office and won't be available this week.  
>  
> Kelly - pls dialogue with Roger next week while I'm on vacation.

Will do.

Thanks!  
--Roger

**From:** Ken Berman  
**To:** Roger Dingledine  
**Cc:** Kelly DeYoe; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2008 10:03:52 AM

---

Can we do a call this week before I go on vacation? JJ reports that the Russian page should be ready today or tomorrow....

Wed at 2:30 Eastern?

Ken

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Roger Dingledine [mailto:(b) (6)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 16, 2008 5:33 PM  
**To:** Ken Berman  
**Cc:** Kelly DeYoe; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?

On Tue, Jul 15, 2008 at 09:00:46AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Roger - Nothing for you to do now, Roger.  
> Kelly - is the Tor page up on voanews.com?

So the roll-out is "imminent"? If so, I'll get our Russian guy to update the translations on the relevant Tor pages (mainly the Tor Browser Bundle).

Thanks,  
--Roger

**From:** Roger Dingledine  
**To:** Ken Berman; Kelly DeYoe; (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2008 10:33:01 AM

---

On Tue, Jul 22, 2008 at 09:03:52AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Can we do a call this week before I go on vacation? JJ reports that  
> the Russian page should be ready today or tomorrow....  
>  
> Wed at 2:30 Eastern?

I am in Leuven (at PETS) til a week from now. Pretty much all the Tor people are here too. Andrew is one of the few not here, so he could do a call this week if we want to have one.

Alternatively, we could cover the critical points by email?

I'll try to get our Russian volunteer translator to update the Tor Browser Bundle page to match the latest English.

--Roger

**From:** Ken Berman  
**To:** Roger Dingledine  
**Cc:** Kelly DeYoe; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2008 10:00:46 AM

---

Roger - Nothing for you to do now, Roger.  
Kelly - is the Tor page up on voanews.com?

Ken

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Roger Dingledine [mailto:(b) (6)]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 14, 2008 3:20 AM  
**To:** Ken Berman  
**Cc:** Kelly DeYoe; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?

On Wed, Jul 02, 2008 at 09:54:56AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Roger - can you give me the May 30th report today? Some folks in  
> Congress want to fund - via a cutout - more \$\$ for Tor and are looking  
> for some usage numbers.

It looks like the DRL grant is moving forward apace, and the  
Internews/Tor/Psiphon proposal and the Freedom House proposal are the  
two that caught their eye. Yay.

Was this what you meant by folks in Congress wanting to fund more Tor,  
or are these a different set of folks? If the latter, is there anything  
we can do to help them learn more?

Thanks!  
--Roger

**From:** [Ken Berman](#)  
**To:** [Roger Dingledine](#)  
**Cc:** [Kelly DeYoe](#); [REDACTED] (b) (6) [REDACTED] (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 02, 2008 4:33:40 PM

---

Iran is surprising low, no?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Roger Dingledine [[@mailto:\[REDACTED\] \(b\) \(6\)](mailto:[REDACTED] (b) (6))]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 02, 2008 1:08 PM  
**To:** Ken Berman  
**Cc:** Kelly DeYoe; [REDACTED] (b) (6) [REDACTED] (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?

On Wed, Jul 02, 2008 at 09:54:56AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Roger - can you give me the May 30th report today? Some folks in  
> Congress want to fund - via a cutout - more \$\$ for Tor and are looking  
> for some usage numbers.

Sent. I also sent an estimate from 13 Apr that shows about the same numbers.

We had a huge user population increase around the beginning of the year, and it's been somewhat level since then. All of these are very rough estimates though.

Let me know if I can do anything more to help.

Also, let me know if these folks in Congress want to meet the actual Tor people sometime. :)

Thanks!  
--Roger

**From:** [Roger Dingledine](#)  
**To:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2008 6:36:08 PM

---

On Tue, Jul 15, 2008 at 09:00:46AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Kelly - is the Tor page up on voanews.com?

Hey. I distantly recall Shava mentioning that you were a regular IM user. Is this still true? Maybe I should put you on my contacts list, for the things that don't require email-style turnaround.

--Roger

**From:** [Roger Dingledine](#)  
**To:** [Ken Berman](#)  
**Cc:** [Kelly DeYoe](#); (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2008 6:33:15 PM

---

On Tue, Jul 15, 2008 at 09:00:46AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Roger - Nothing for you to do now, Roger.  
> Kelly - is the Tor page up on voanews.com?

So the roll-out is "imminent"? If so, I'll get our Russian guy to update the translations on the relevant Tor pages (mainly the Tor Browser Bundle).

Thanks,  
--Roger



**From:** [Ken Berman](#)  
**To:** [Roger Dingledine](#)  
**Cc:** [Kelly DeYoe](#); (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 02, 2008 10:54:56 AM

---

Roger - can you give me the May 30<sup>th</sup> report today? Some folks in Congress want to fund – via a cutout – more \$\$ for Tor and are looking for some usage numbers.  
Ken

---

**From:** Ken Berman [mailto:(b) (6)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 01, 2008 4:19 PM  
**To:** 'Roger Dingledine'  
**Cc:** 'Kelly DeYoe'  
**Subject:** Tor usage?

Roger – pls send us the latest stats on Tor usage. Thx,

**From:** [Ken Berman](#)  
**To:** [Roger Dingledine](#)  
**Cc:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 02, 2008 8:06:30 AM

---

Pls resend May 30....

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Roger Dingledine [[mailto: \(b\) \(6\)](#)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 01, 2008 5:01 PM  
**To:** Ken Berman  
**Cc:** Kelly DeYoe  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?

On Tue, Jul 01, 2008 at 04:18:32PM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Roger - pls send us the latest stats on Tor usage. Thx,

You mean our estimates of how many users, from which countries, etc?

The latest ones we have are the ones I sent you on May 30. I can start another run gathering new stats, but it won't be done for 4 or 5 days. Shall I start it going?

--Roger

**From:** Roger Dingleline  
**To:** Ken Berman  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Monday, July 14, 2008 4:19:42 AM

---

On Wed, Jul 02, 2008 at 09:54:56AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Roger - can you give me the May 30th report today? Some folks in  
>Congress want to fund - via a cutout - more \$\$ for Tor and are looking  
>for some usage numbers.

It looks like the DRL grant is moving forward apace, and the  
Internews/Tor/Psiphon proposal and the Freedom House proposal are the  
two that caught their eye. Yay.

Was this what you meant by folks in Congress wanting to fund more Tor,  
or are these a different set of folks? If the latter, is there anything  
we can do to help them learn more?

Thanks!  
--Roger

**From:** [Roger Dingledine](#)  
**To:** [Ken Berman](#)  
**Cc:** [Kelly DeYoe](#); [mailto:]; [mailto:]  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 02, 2008 6:35:10 PM

---

On Wed, Jul 02, 2008 at 03:33:40PM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Iran is surprising low, no?

Alas, it isn't that surprising. My theory is that this is a combination of:

- A) The torproject.org domain is blocked there.
- B) Tor 0.1.2.x (the current "stable" release) doesn't work there, because Smartfilter blocks it.
- C) We don't have Farsi versions of the user-facing programs like Vidalia and Torbutton.
- D) Nobody has advocated Tor much there, compared to in China where many people talk publically about Tor.

We have steps B and C solved (the Tor 0.2.0.x release isn't caught by Smartfilter/Webwasher, and just today we added new Farsi translations of Vidalia and Torbutton), so I expect things to get a bit better over the next year or so. A and D remain problems though.

Hope that helps,  
--Roger

**From:** Roger Dingleline  
**To:** Ken Berman  
**Cc:** Kelly DeVries  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 02, 2008 2:08:02 PM

---

On Wed, Jul 02, 2008 at 09:54:56AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Roger - can you give me the May 30th report today? Some folks in  
>Congress want to fund - via a cutout - more \$\$ for Tor and are looking  
>for some usage numbers.

Sent. I also sent an estimate from 13 Apr that shows about the same numbers.

We had a huge user population increase around the beginning of the year, and it's been somewhat level since then. All of these are very rough estimates though.

Let me know if I can do anything more to help.

Also, let me know if these folks in Congress want to meet the actual Tor people sometime. :)

Thanks!  
--Roger

**From:** [Roger Dingledine](#)  
**To:** [Ken Berman](#)  
**Cc:** [Kelly DeYoe](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 01, 2008 6:01:18 PM

---

On Tue, Jul 01, 2008 at 04:18:32PM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Roger - pls send us the latest stats on Tor usage. Thx,

You mean our estimates of how many users, from which countries, etc?

The latest ones we have are the ones I sent you on May 30. I can start another run gathering new stats, but it won't be done for 4 or 5 days. Shall I start it going?

--Roger

**From:** Ken Berman  
**To:** Roger Dingledine; Kelly DeYoe; (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2008 12:26:02 PM

---

Finally:

<http://www.voanews.com/russian/tor.cfm>

Kelly had to leave the office and won't be available this week.

Kelly - pls dialogue with Roger next week while I'm on vacation.

Ken

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Roger Dingledine [mailto:(b) (6)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 22, 2008 9:33 AM  
**To:** Ken Berman; Kelly DeYoe; (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?

On Tue, Jul 22, 2008 at 09:03:52AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
> Can we do a call this week before I go on vacation? JJ reports that  
>the Russian page should be ready today or tomorrow....  
>  
> Wed at 2:30 Eastern?

I am in Leuven (at PETS) til a week from now. Pretty much all the Tor people are here too. Andrew is one of the few not here, so he could do a call this week if we want to have one.

Alternatively, we could cover the critical points by email?

I'll try to get our Russian volunteer translator to update the Tor Browser Bundle page to match the latest English.

--Roger

**From:** Kelly\_DeYoe  
**To:** Roger Dingleline  
**Cc:** Ken Berman; (b) (6); (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: Tor usage?  
**Date:** Friday, July 25, 2008 10:39:58 AM

---

It is currently linked in the right column of the Russian news front page - <http://www.voanews.com/russian/> - the last text link just above the poll question. As part of the site redesign process on VOANews.com, there will be a new Russian language portal launched soon (similar to the English language portal at <http://www.voanews.com/> ), and on that page there will be a slightly more prominent link to the Tor page placed as well.

At some point, it may be mentioned in email newsletters as well, but we're taking a slow approach at first since the Russian web editors may not be up to handling a high volume and questions and responses with a more focused push towards distributing Tor just yet.

-k

Roger Dingleline wrote:

> On Wed, Jul 23, 2008 at 11:26:02AM -0400, Ken Berman wrote:  
>> Finally:  
>>  
>> <http://www.voanews.com/russian/tor.cfm>  
>  
> Great!  
>  
> We just pushed the updated version of the Russian Tor Browser Bundle  
> page too.  
>  
> Is VoA planning to link to the voanews url from somewhere, or is the  
> plan to send a bunch of emails out at some point, or what?  
>  
>> Kelly had to leave the office and won't be available this week.  
>>  
>> Kelly - pls dialogue with Roger next week while I'm on vacation.  
>  
> Will do.  
>  
> Thanks!  
> --Roger  
>